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Abstract

The use of alien plants to produce biofuel feedstocks is being considered in many parts of the world. Among the environmental concerns associated with biofuel production is the risk of feedstock species becoming invasive. Traits that make plants favourable for biofuels also favour invasiveness. We whether derived from commercial forestry and agroforestry could inform strategies to reduce future problems with invasive biofuel plants.

The dynamics, dimensions, extent and trajectories of invasions of forestry species can be largely explained using models incorporating traits of the species, features of the environment, and stochastic factors associated with the extent and configuration of plantings and the time since introduction. Economic driving forces are crucial. These insights are slowly being incorporated into management strategies.
The availability of databases on invasive species and advanced tools for screening species for invasiveness, the ability to design and configure plantations to minimize spread risk, implementing biological control at the outset, adopting certification standards are some of the important lessons to be drawn upon to reduce problems with invasiveness of alien species for biofuel production.
Introduction

The current energy crisis, the need to reduce CO$_2$ emissions, and a range of geopolitical issues leading to many nations needing to reduce their dependence on fossil fuels has raised interest in the use of biofuels [1]. This new bioeconomy is responsible for strong economic incentives to use plants, including transgenic cultivars, non-native species, and taxa formerly confined to small geographical areas, as biofuel feedstock across large areas [2]. Biofuel production is controversial because although it promises numerous benefits, it holds considerable economic, social, and environmental risks [2-4].

Biofuel production is not new, but meeting the growing need for environmentally-friendly fuels is driving a shift from current biofuel feedstocks to a new suite of species. Most biofuel is currently produced from food plant species (e.g. maize, sugarcane) which are well known, have been domesticated for centuries, and occupy large areas of arable land [5]. Many of the alternative non-food plant species currently being developed or under consideration for biofuels are known to be invasive (i.e. they spread from sites where they are cultivated, often resulting in undesirable impacts) somewhere in the world, or are very likely to be invasive if introduced to new regions and cultivated in large numbers [6,7]. The characteristics that make them attractive as biofuel crops (wide environmental tolerance, rapid growth, ease of establishment, low water demand, ability to resprout when harvested, prolific seed production, etc) are precisely those traits which predispose species to become invasive [8].

Potential problems with invasive biofuel plants have been addressed in many publications in the peer-reviewed and grey literature recently. Among the topics that have received attention are:
• General discussions about how biofuel production could exacerbate problems with invasions [9,10];
• The development of guidelines to prevent invasive species from invading areas outside sites set aside for biofuel production e.g. [11-13];
• The formulation of guidelines for integrating concerns about the invasiveness of biofuel species into national environmental policies [14];
• The application of weed risk-assessment systems for screening potential biofuel species for invasive potential in different regions [7,15];
• Elaboration of the dimensions of conflicts of interest between national authorities responsible for fuel provision and environmental agencies [2];
• Discussion of various technologies to produce 2nd generation fuels and the implication for new plant feedstocks [16];

This article reviews problems with plant invasions associated with the cultivation of alien plants for two purposes with a much longer history than biofuel production - commercial forestry and agroforestry - and the evolution of approaches to manage these problems. We extract key lessons and principles from the experience in these endeavours that could be applied to reduce problems should alien plants be widely disseminated and cultivated for biofuel production. Special attention is given to the situation in the Southern Hemisphere.

**Commercial forestry**

Despite its long history, sustained, large-scale forestry was limited until the late 19th century in Europe, and only expanded to other parts of the world in the 20th century
The rapid growth of the forestry industry can be linked to the growing demands of human populations and evolving technology creating a close link between the forestry, timber, pulp and paper (FTPP) industries of the world. These industries are closely linked with consumer products traded on international markets, and thus are increasingly subject to codes of conduct relating to sustainability. It is only recently that environmental issues, including invasiveness, have emerged as important considerations that are shaping the industry [19].

In the Southern Hemisphere, afforestation with alien trees increased dramatically in the second half of the 20th century, and plantations of trees, mainly pines and eucalypts, are now a dominant feature of landscapes in many countries. For pines, the expansion of plantations in Chile (early 1970s) Australia (early 1960s) and New Zealand (late 1960s) has been phenomenal: by 1996 roughly 4 million ha had been planted to Pinus radiata alone.

The invasive spread of pines from planting sites in the Southern Hemisphere was first noted in the mid 1800s in South Africa, and widespread invasions were reported by the 1920s. Widespread invasions were noted somewhat later in Australia and New Zealand [20]. Large-scale plantings took place much later in South America than in the aforementioned regions, and widespread invasions there are consequently more recent [21]. At least 17 Pinus species, out of the >100 species in the genus (most of which have been planted to some extent), are now well established as invaders of natural ecosystems in the southern hemisphere, and 8 species are weeds of major importance. Four of the most widespread invasive pine species have been widely planted (P. halepensis, P. patula, P. pinaster, and P. radiata).
Pine invasions in the Southern Hemisphere can be explained by a model incorporating information on species attributes, residence time, the extent of planting, ground-cover characteristics, locality (latitude), disturbance regime, and the resident biota in the receiving environment [22]. The syndrome of traits that separate invasive from non-invasive pine species [23] has been shown to be useful for separating invasive from non-invasive taxa in other conifers [24] and indeed in woody plants in general [25], underscoring the value of the natural experiment of pine afforestation and subsequent invasions in the Southern Hemisphere in unravelling the determinants of invasive success. The understanding of the interacting roles of species traits, planting history, and environmental factors in determining whether or when invasions will occur, reinforced by modelling studies [26] has paved the way for the provision of guidelines on how to minimize the extent and impacts of invasions in new areas [21,27-30]. Options for switching to less invasive species for plantations are very limited, since less invasive or non-invasive pine species (or other species) are not productive enough to sustain commercial forestry. Given the obvious role of prolific seed production in driving invasions, an obvious solution is to reduce seed production. Options for reducing seed production in commercial pine forestry through seed-attacking biological control agents have been explored. At present this strategy has limited application since the best bio-control candidates are also implicated in disease transmission, making the risk to commercial forestry too onerous [31]. Further research is urgently needed. Research is underway to explore options for producing sterile trees, and this option seems to hold promise [28]. In the interim, the most effective management strategy seems to be to integrate the following (listed in decreasing order of the spatial scale of the intervention): spatially-explicit risk assessment at a national scale as a basis for objective demarcation of areas suitable for plantations [30]; at the landscape scale, attention to plantation design (e.g. orientation in relation to prevailing wind), species
composition, and optimum land management around plantations to reduce the incidence of invasions [32]; at the scale of individual management units, the incorporation of mechanical control measures to curb spread at the edge of plantations as part of standard silvicultural operations; and the application of appropriate landscape management (system dependent, including fire and grazing management) to prevent establishment and spread of invading plants in surrounding land. The global significance of the forestry industry and the well developed international markets have helped to introduce best-practice procedures and certification standards, e.g. though the Forest Stewardship Council and the International Standards Organization [33]. Such developments are potentially important for reducing the effectiveness of commercial forestry operations as a pathway for alien trees and shrub invasions. These interventions are relatively recent and it is too early to assess whether these, in combination with other interventions, will substantially reduce problems. The formal integration of such approaches into national legislation, e.g. in South Africa, is however encouraging.

**Agroforestry**

Agroforestry involves the integration of trees and shrubs with crops and/or animals in the same area, either in a spatial mixture or in a temporal sequence, to derive the combined benefits of all components. This form of silviculture has a much longer history than plantation forestry, stretching back many centuries. The widespread availability of thousands of species of non-native trees for the last century or so has, however, revolutionized agroforestry and related “non-conventional” forestry activities, with profound implications for this practice as a pathway for invasions. Hundreds of tree
species are now widely planted, especially in the tropics. Trees typically used in agroforestry may be divided into the following groups:

- Fast-growing, nitrogen-fixing legume trees: (e.g., Acacia spp., Calliandra calothyrsus, Gleditsia triacanthos; Gliricidia sepium, Leucaena leucocephala, Parkinsonia aculeata, Senna spp.);
- Trees for dry zones (e.g., Acacia nilotica, Azadirachta indica, Prosopis spp.);
- Non-legume service trees (e.g., Cecropia spp.);
- Fast-growing timber trees (e.g., Eucalyptus spp., Casuarina spp.);
- High-value timber trees (e.g., Cedrela odorata, Cordia alliodora);
- Fruit trees (e.g., Citrus spp., Psidium guajava).

In all these cases, both the selection of trees and the conditions into which they are planted favour invasive spread [34]. Agroforestry often strives towards multifunctional landscapes in which many needs are met by numerous plant species and land uses. In some cases, invasiveness of planted species is seen as beneficial, e.g. when spreading plants provide additional resources such as fuel wood. Indeed the concept of invasiveness as a problem in agroforestry is controversial in some situations as proponents of agroforestry argue that overall benefits to communities greatly outweigh potential damages through invasiveness. Nonetheless, many of the species listed above are transformer species [35] that radically alter ecosystems and reduce the sustainability of many forms of land use.

Many agroforestry enterprises are funded by international donor agencies and initiated by regional cooperatives. However, local-scale management is usually done by small-scale growers. Since products are generally for local consumption, international market
forces do not dictate best-practice management, and local, regional and national authorities have little power to implement binding regulations to manage for invasions originating from such ventures. The large number of tree species used for agroforestry and the diversity of planting configurations and contexts (from highly degraded systems to intact systems adjoining sensitive conservation areas), usually makes it impractical to enact effective regional strategies to mitigate the problem. Biological control is a crucial form of control in such situations and notable successes have been achieved but the problem is escalating in severity in many areas. Successes have been reported in some areas with substituting invasive alien species with less invasive alien, or native, species. However, as with commercial forestry, a fairly small number of alien plants (including a number of “wonder plants” that fulfil multiple objectives) are difficult or impossible to replace.

**Ultimate causes of problems of invasiveness in forestry and agroforestry**

There are two fundamental components of the fundamental drivers of plant invasions resulting from intentionally introduced and widely cultivated trees and shrubs. The first relates to the traits of the species. For both forms of forestry, rapid growth rates, and various properties associated with hardiness, and adaptability to a range of, often harsh, conditions have been strongly selected for. These, and others, such precocious and prolific seed production, desirable in many agroforestry situations, make these species inherently weedy. Richardson [36] wrote of “invasive alien trees: the price of forestry”. The second crucial driver of problems in this regard relates to dimensions of the pathways forged by all aspects of the cultivation of non-native trees. Aspects that strongly influence invasions include these:
• The alien species are often planted in massive numbers, ensuring huge sources of propagules.

• The configuration of plantings creates good conditions for initiating invasions. In the case of large plantations, there is often a long edge adjoining invasible habitat. In most agroforestry ventures, rows of trees or scattered trees form effective foci for seed dispersal.

• Plantings often adjoin natural or areas of semi-natural vegetation that are often managed for other uses, creating acute conflicts of interest when invasions occur.

• Establishment of the trees is often accompanied by disturbance (to reduce competition from native vegetation) and the intentional introduction of mutualists such as mycorrhizal fungi for pines and rhizobia for legume trees. This favours growth and recruitment of the alien trees, not only in areas identified for silviculture but also in surrounding areas.

Current biofuel trends

The impetus for biofuel production to expand rapidly to contribute to national energy security, rural-development and other priorities means that production is likely to grow rapidly, posing special problems for planning to ensure sustainability and to minimize environmental damage. To meet targets a combination of large-scale commercial production and small scale farming opportunities may need to be realised [37]. On the one hand, biofuels are expected to play a relatively large role in mitigating carbon emissions in a short time which could result in the development of large scale plantations mainly in developing countries [38,39]. Due to various technological and feedstock limitations none of these scenarios have yet moved beyond experimentation. Certification bodies and international conservation organisations have taken the
opportunity to caution against the lack of standardization and certification process. At the other end of the spectrum, the biofuel boom has stimulated interest in developing small-scale bioenergy production to uplift rural communities and improve livelihoods without compromising food security or environmental integrity [38, 40]. However, history shows that ‘wonder crops’ often exceed their initial role and soon become pests for the very reasons they were initially chosen. Robust strategies are needed now, to avoid the problems with invasive species that now bedevil commercial forestry and agroforestry (Figure 1).

The development of screening protocols and global databases of invasive species are powerful tools for arriving at informed decisions regarding the introduction of new species. The lessons from forestry and agroforestry are particularly useful in selecting species and developing appropriate management options (Table 1). We have the advantage of adopting existing management and legislative models to minimize the impacts of using alien plants in new environments. The challenge will be to develop standards that can be applied to both small and large-scale operations and in a range of socio-political milieus.

Conclusions

Commercial forestry and agroforestry are the closest analogs to biofuel production because of the types of plants that are used and the scale and configurations of plantings. Hard lessons have been learnt in these fields, some of which can be applied to avoid some of the pitfalls that have been experienced. There are, however, also other fields in the emerging bioeconomy that rely on non-native species and where problems with invasiveness of subject taxa cause problems - horticulture [41] and aquaculture [42]. The socio-economic drivers of each of these enterprises are very different and much work
remains to be done to craft innovative solutions to such industries with inherent high risks of exacerbating the escalating problems with biological invasions.
Table 1. Key lessons for dealing with invasions of alien plants used for biofuel production from the experience with invasions resulting from commercial forestry and agroforestry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key lessons</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Implications for biofuel production</th>
<th>Key references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Some species are inherently better invaders than others</td>
<td>Global lists of the most invasive taxa are now available. If a species are invasive in one region, they are likely to replicate this in similar environments elsewhere. This is useful for compiling &quot;black lists&quot; of known invasive species which should either not be used or which demand special attention if used.</td>
<td>High-risk species, e.g., <em>Arundo donax</em>, should ideally be avoided, or, when used special measures must be mandatory Biological control or other mitigation measures could potentially be applied.</td>
<td>[6,7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasion success increases with increasing propagule pressure and time since introduction</td>
<td>Problems with invasions increase as the size of the propagule pool and the time since introduction increase. High propagules pressure can result in successful invasions, even if the environment is sub-optimal for establishment of the species.</td>
<td>Many biofuel plantations are likely to be established over large areas. Special precautions are needed to confine seeds and vegetative materials to the planted area and to minimize spread along transport routes. Location and configuration of the plantings in relation to the surrounding habitat are crucial for minimizing invasions (e.g., planting near riparian zones or degraded landscape that may be susceptible to invasion).</td>
<td>[12,43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolific seed production spells trouble</td>
<td>Various aspects of seed biology are important determinants of invasiveness. Heavy seed production in the absence of natural enemies is a crucial factor in many plant invasions. Very large seed numbers can swamp regeneration microsites, resulting in invasion of even marginal sites. Heavy seed production also affects dispersal in several ways. More seeds usually result in more offspring further from parent plants. Biological control using seed-attacking insects can reduce seed production of some desirable species without affecting other features of the plant.</td>
<td>Some biofuel crops are dependent on high seed production with species being specially selected and bred to maximise this trait. In such instances seed-attacking insects may not be a viable option in this industry and use of the biocontrol could be limited.</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic change due to the introduction and cultivation history can favour invasiveness</td>
<td>Changes in the genetic make-up of introduced species can change their ability to invade. This may be as a result of the evolution of land races, increased genetic diversity as a result of the introduction of new genotypes, spontaneous hybridization in situ, or to human-mediated breeding programs aimed at genetic improvement. Spontaneous interspecific</td>
<td>Some species are chosen due to the range of genetic stock that can increase productivity, growth rates and pest resistance. The risks of genes escaping and causing hybridization in adjacent populations is a serious and unquantifiable risk.</td>
<td>[45-47]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hybridization is important for the evolution of invasiveness in plants. Hybridization potentially changes the “game rules” for an alien organism, and may enhance its ability to become established and invasive because of increased vitality of the hybrids compared with the parent species.

Mutualisms are crucial, and reshuffling the world’s biota is making ecosystems more open to invasion by more species. Many invasions rely on mutualistic interactions between the introduced plant species and other organisms (e.g., animal-mediated pollination and seed dispersal, and interactions between plant roots and mycorrhizal fungi and nitrogen-fixing bacteria). Generalist vertebrate seed dispersers such as livestock are frequently a component of agroforestry systems, and provide a reliable mechanism for seed movement. Propagules of many agroforestry trees are widely disseminated by humans. These factors contribute to enhanced long-distance dispersal and the establishment of new foci for invasion. Potential barriers to establishment (and invasion beyond planting sites) are overcome for many agroforestry trees and shrubs when appropriate mycorrhizal symbionts and bacteria are introduced. Such inoculations enable the alien agroforestry species to grow productively in the new habitat, but also radically enhance the suitability of surrounding areas for establishment/invasion by the alien species. Prior introduction of many mutualists for forestry, agroforestry and other uses will enhance invasibility of many ecosystems for species to be used for biofuels.

Potential impacts of invaders are often related to the functions and services that make these species desirable subjects for cultivation. Alien plant species for forestry and agroforestry are selected for the new functions and services that they bring to the system – functions and services that cannot be provided (as well) by native species. Often, it is exactly these functions/services (e.g. rapid biomass accumulation, nitrogen fixation) that cause harmful impacts when these species invade beyond sites intended for agroforestry. In order for biofuels not to compete with food resources, marginal and degraded land is being targeted for production.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The history of experimentation with many species worldwide ensures better species-site matching than in the past – fewer failures and more invaders</th>
<th>Improved R&amp;D in many parts of the world has resulted in the rapid and widespread dissemination of news of highly successful agroforestry species (e.g. the many species of &quot;wonder trees&quot;). Such information, based on the natural experiment of the planting of hundreds of species across the world is, in effect, providing empirical evidence on species-site matching. Rather than needing to experiment with a large number of potential species, agroforesters are now able to select from a small number of species with a very high chance of success in their area. Species selection following this process is, in many cases, also selecting for invasiveness.</th>
<th>Biofuel crops may benefit from advanced site selection criteria crucial to maximise crop success and minimize expenditure. However, many plantations worldwide are not sufficiently based on scientific knowledge of species ecology which could increase the risk of crop abandonment if productive expectations are not met.</th>
<th>[6,48]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unravelling the drivers of planting is crucial</td>
<td>The dimensions of planting of alien tree species are shaped by ecological, economic, cultural, and political factors that differ considerably in different parts of the world. These factors are totally different for different types of enterprises in commercial forestry and agroforestry. These drivers, together with a range of ecological factors that determine levels of invasiveness and invasibility, define the extent and magnitude of the problem and delineate options for intervention.</td>
<td>Biofuels are expected to play a diverse role in the future and is also shaped by ecological, economic, cultural, and political factors. The large significance to energy security, poverty alleviation and climate change are major drivers of biofuels in different parts of the world. Appropriate understanding of these drivers could balance the risks with adequate management options.</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1: Schematic depiction of the main phases in the invasion process, potential barriers to invasion, ways in which likely scenarios for biofuel production using alien plants could influence the importance of barriers, and some lessons from the history of invasions in commercial forestry and agroforestry for limiting invasion problems in the plant biofuel industry. Barrier model adapted from Richardson et al. (2000). Three complementary strategies (prevent; detection and early response; and long-term management) from Chornesky et al. (2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simplified invasion process</th>
<th>1. Introduction</th>
<th>2. Establishment</th>
<th>3. Spread</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barriers to becoming invasive</td>
<td>Geographical</td>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>Reproductive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deliberate introduction following climate matching and cultivation overcomes key barriers to establishment. Reproductive barriers are also generally of minor importance given selection criteria for many potential biofuel species.

These barriers are still likely to be influential in market spread beyond sites of introduction and cultivation and are crucial considerations for planning and management.

Lessons to reduce impacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Prevention</th>
<th>b) Detection and early response</th>
<th>c) Long-term management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Databases and screening procedures into species, selection and control of introduction history.</td>
<td>- Proactive measures to prevent spread, including investment in biological control and genetic engineering where appropriate to reduce escape. Plants.</td>
<td>- Monitor introduction/perform of different genotypes, patterns of spread, vectors of spread; monitoring naturalization and spread.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Preemptive expansion of protected areas - identify habitat configuration at landscape scales.</td>
<td>- Regular monitoring of naturalization and spread.</td>
<td>- Regular revision of management plans to incorporate international best practice and local knowledge.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>