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ABSTRACT
In this paper, a change detection accuracy comparison is made between a recently proposed EKF method and a sliding window Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) alternative within a spatio-temporal change detection framework. Both methods produce a mean and amplitude parameter sequence which is then used to determine a change metric which yield a change of no-change decision after thresholding. The objective is to determine which of these methods produces a change metric value that is able to best discriminate between change and no-change.

1. INTRODUCTION
Anthropogenic land cover change has a major impact on hydrology, climate and ecology [1]. Remote sensing satellite data provide researchers with an effective way to monitor and evaluate land cover changes. Automated change detection reduces human interaction and enables large datasets to potentially be processed in a fraction of the time. Recently, a spatio-temporal framework was proposed for land cover change detection using hyper temporal NDVI time series data. The method models the NDVI time series as a triply modulated cosine function given as

\[ y_k = \mu_k + \alpha_k \cos(\omega_k + \phi_k) + v_k, \]

where \( y_k \) denotes the observed value of the NDVI time series at time \( k \) and \( v_k \) is the noise sample at time \( k \). The values of \( \mu_k \), \( \alpha_k \) and \( \phi_k \) are functions of time, and must be estimated given \( y_k \) for \( k \in 1, \ldots, N \) [4]. An EKF was used to estimate these parameters for each increment of \( k \). The estimated values for \( \mu_k, \alpha_k, \phi_k \) effectively results in a time series for each of the three parameters.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. EKF method
The NDVI time series for a given pixel was modeled by a triply modulated cosine function given as

\[ y_k = \mu_k + \alpha_k \cos(\omega_k + \phi_k) + v_k, \]

where \( y_k \) denotes the observed value of the NDVI time series at time \( k \) and \( v_k \) is the noise sample at time \( k \). The values of \( \mu_k \), \( \alpha_k \) and \( \phi_k \) are functions of time, and must be estimated given \( y_k \) for \( k \in 1, \ldots, N \) [4]. An EKF was used to estimate these parameters for each increment of \( k \). The estimated values for \( \mu_k, \alpha_k, \phi_k \) effectively results in a time series for each of the three parameters.

2.2. Sliding window FFT Method
The Fourier analysis of the NDVI time series is insightful because the signal can be decomposed into a series of cosine waves with varying amplitude, phase and frequency. When considering a window of length \( w \), the discrete Fourier transform of the \( i \)th NDVI time-slice can be written in matrix form as:

\[ Y_i = F_w Y_i, \]

where \( Y_i^T = [y_i, y_{i+w}, y_{i+2w}, \ldots, y_{i+(w-1)v}] \) is the NDVI time series of length \( w \) in vector form, \( Y_i^T \) is the FT of \( y_1 \) and \( F_w \) is the
DFT matrix in the form
\[
F_w(r, c) = \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}} e^{-2\pi i (r-1) (c-1)} \right],
\]
where \(F_w(r, c)\) is the value of row \(r\) and column \(c\) of the \(F_w\) matrix. Relating this to the aforementioned EKF method yields
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mu_k \\
\alpha_k \\
\phi_k
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
Y_k(1) \\
|Y_k(Y)| \\
\angle Y_k(Y)
\end{bmatrix} \quad k \in \{1, 2, ..., N - w + 1\},
\]
where \(Y\) is the number of annual cycles captured in the window size, i.e. if there are 46 samples every year, a window length of 92 will correspond to \(Y = 2\).

2.3. Change Detection Method

Having the parameter sequence \(x\) for a given pixel, a change detection method was formulated by comparing the parameter sequences of the pixel with that of its direct neighboring pixels. This effectively means focusing on the center pixel of a 3 \(\times\) 3 grid of pixels and examining each neighboring pixel’s parameter sequence relative to the center pixel. It was previously established that the \(\phi\) parameter sequence does not yield any significant separability between natural vegetation and settlement land cover types and consequently only the \(\mu\) and \(\alpha\) parameter sequence was considered [4]. The \(\mu\) and \(\alpha\) parameter sequence difference between the center pixel and an arbitrary neighboring pixel at time \(k\) can be written as
\[
D_k^\mu(n) = |\mu_k - \mu_k^n| \quad n \in 1, \ldots, 8,
\]
\[
D_k^\alpha(n) = |\alpha_k - \alpha_k^n| \quad n \in 1, \ldots, 8,
\]
where \(D_k^\mu(n)\) is the distance between the \(\mu\) parameter sequence of a selected pixel \((\mu_k)\) with its \(n\)'th neighboring pixel \((\mu_k^n)\) at time \(k\). \(D_k^\alpha(n)\) is the distance between the \(\alpha\) parameter streams of a selected pixel \((\alpha_k)\) with its \(n\)'th neighboring pixel \((\alpha_k^n)\) at time \(k\). Equation 4 and 5 can be combined as
\[
D_k^n = D_k^\mu(n) + D_k^\alpha(n) \quad n \in 1, \ldots, 8.
\]

Having obtained a distance relative to each of the neighboring pixels, these could be combined at time \(k\) by simply adding all the values of \(D_k^n\) \(n \in 1, \ldots, 8\) at time \(k\)
\[
D_k = \sum_{n=1}^{8} D_k^n \quad k \in 1, \ldots, N.
\]

Having vector \(D = [D^1 \ D^2 \ D^3 \ldots \ D^N]\), a change metric was derived by firstly determining how the relative distance between the center pixel and its neighboring pixel changes through time. This was done by differentiating the vector \(D\). A single change metric was then derived by summing all the values of the differentiated \(D\) vector to yield
\[
\delta = \sum_{k=2}^{N} |D_k^k - D_k^{k-1}|,
\]
where \(\delta\) is a single valued change metric for the center pixel of the 3x3 pixel grid. The change metric for each of the pixels in the study area was thus calculated by sliding a 3x3 pixel grid over the entire study area and calculating \(\delta\) for the center pixel.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Separability Results

As an initial experiment, the land cover class separability between natural vegetation and settlement land cover types were evaluated using the features obtained using each of the discussed methods. The underlying idea is that the separability of the features (obtained using the sliding window FFT and EKF method respectively) would be indicative of the eventual land cover change detection accuracy.

The proposed methods were tested in two regions in South Africa. The first study area (Region A) is centered around lat-
Fig. 2. Receiver operating characteristic for the sliding window FFT (1 year sliding window) and EKF approach.

3.2. Change detection results

The change detection performance was evaluated in the Gauteng province which is located in northern South Africa. Because of a high level of urbanization it has seen significant human settlement expansion during the 2001 and 2008 period. A total area of approximately 17 000 km² was considered being centered around 26° 07’ 29.62” S, 28° 05’ 40.40” E. Gauteng is the smallest province in South Africa, occupying a land area of only 1.4% of the land area of the country, but it is highly urbanized as it contains two of the largest cities in South Africa, Johannesburg and Pretoria. A total of 592 examples of natural vegetation, 372 examples of settlement and 181 examples of real change 500 m MODIS pixels were identified within the study area. Landsat and SPOT high resolution data were used to identify the aforementioned pixels.

The Receiver Operator Characteristic (ROC), which considered the change detection accuracy (true positive) as a function of the false alarm rate (false positive) is shown for both methods in the study area (figure 2). It can be seen that, for example, at a false alarm rate of 10%, there is almost a 10% difference in the change detection accuracy as the EKF method has a change detection accuracy of 67% while the SW FFT method has a change detection accuracy of 57%.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a spatio-temporal approach using both an EKF and sliding window FFT approach was evaluated. As an initial experiment, the land cover separability that was achievable using the features obtained using both methods where considered. It found that the EKF method provided better separ-
arability when compared to the sliding window FFT method, regardless of the window size. This result was confirmed when the change detection performance of both methods were considered in the Gauteng province of South Africa. The ROC of both methods showed that, although both methods performed well overall, the EKF approach provided a definite advantage when considering a false alarm rate in the region between zero and 15% (figure 2).
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