

MONITORING OF SAVANNA DEGRADATION IN NAMIBIA USING LANDSAT TM/ETM+ DATA

M. Vogel¹, M. Strohbach²

¹ **Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR),
P.O.Box 395, Pretoria, 0001, South Africa.**

² **University of Pretoria, Department of Plant Sciences,
Pretoria, 0002, South Africa.**

Savannas cover 54% of Southern Africa. They are of high importance for the rural population providing them with food, medicinal plants, timber, fuel wood, and range land for livestock (Scholes 1997). However, about 31% of the savannas in the semi-arid Africa are affected by degradation processes, that may lead to significant decline of ecosystem functioning and services, biomass production and economic productivity (Sefe et al. 1996). Degradation symptoms in savannas are e.g. decrease in vegetation cover or complete loss of vegetation, shifts in species composition towards annual plants, or also the increase of single bush species that might lead to dense species-poor thickets (bush encroachment). Detection and quantification of degradation processes as a first step for understanding and development of prevention and mitigation actions is of international interest as the described processes are observed in semi-arid landscapes globally.

However, the accurate assessment of savanna degradation using remote sensing techniques has turned out difficult, as the described processes are frequently overlaid by ecosystem-inherent variability in response to the usually highly variable rain falls (Wessels et al. 2007). Furthermore, local vegetation decrease frequently turns out to be a result of recent livestock grazing impact at the time of image acquisition, rather than true vegetation degradation.

In the presented work, we aimed to develop a bitemporal change detection approach that takes into account these difficulties. The test site was a landscape mosaic of different types of thorn bush savanna in central Namibia. Using a set of 7 Landsat TM and ETM+ images covering the study area in +/- 5 year intervals from 1984 – 2003, we developed a spectral decision tree classifier sensitive

to increase or decrease independent from the vegetation type. This enabled us to assess changes without detailed knowledge of the observed vegetation, information which is difficult to get for many regions of Namibia. Additionally, we used structural change features to distinguish between different processes that provide similar spectral change properties. Structural information was derived by segmentation of the continuous spectral change data (eCognition). The use of structural change parameters enabled us to distinguish between land use related vegetation loss, such as recent grazing impact on single land units, and natural decrease processes indicating degradation.

To identify areas where observed changes were likely to be phenology-driven, long term precipitation data from about 20 farms in the study area were analysed. This enabled us to estimate on the local scale the impact of degradation versus rain fall.

We concluded that central Namibian savannas are only to a small extent affected by degradation in terms of vegetation loss. Bush encroachment was detected as major degradation process during the observed time period. However, the largest amount of detected change was caused by phenology.

The results show that the use of structural change information can improve the distinction between natural and anthropogenic change and degradation processes. Interpretation of local rain fall data aided the understanding of spatial and temporal patterns of vegetation response.

References:

Scholes, R. J. (1997). Savanna. In "Vegetation of Southern Africa" (R. M. Cowling, Richardson, D.M. and Pierce, S.M., Ed.), pp. 258-277. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Sefe, F., Ringrose, S., Matheson, W. (1996). Desertification in north-central Botswana: Causes, processes, and impacts. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 51(3), 241-248.

Wessels, K.J., Prince, S.D., Malherbe, J., Small, J., Frost, P.E., VanZyl, D. (2007). Can human-induced land degradation be distinguished from the effects of rainfall variability? - A case study in South Africa. *Journal of Arid Environments* 68, 271–297.