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## ABSTRACT

An analysis has been undertaken of the inter-correlation between various measures of weak places in yarns and their rôle in determining weavability. Three instruments were used to obtain measures of weak places, these being the Shirley Constant Tension Winding Tester, the Uster Tensorapid and a new highspeed yarn strength tester developed at SAWTRI. Multiple regression analyses showed that fits above $80 \%$ could be obtained using the data provided by any one of the three instruments in conjunction with measures of other relevant yam properties. The intportant rôle played by isolated weak places in the weavability of worsted yarns once again clearly emerged. The SAWTRI instrument appears to hold great potential for providing, at very high speed, an accurate measure of yarn weak places, as well as information on the mean breaking strength, mean extension and mean work to break, and their CV's.

## INTRODUCTION

It is becoming increasingly ${ }^{1-9}$ clear that an accurate measure of the weak places in a yarn is essential if the performance of the yarn during subsequent weaving is to be predicted with any degree of reliability. This was very clearly illustrated in a recent detailed study ${ }^{1}$ on the factors which affect the weaving performance (warp breaks) of 71 worsted yarns. In that study, a statistical fit of $83 \%$ was obtained for the data, a measure of the isolated weak places (Shirley Constant Tension Winding Test) proving to be the most important yarn property by far for explaining differences in the weaving performance of the various yarns. In practice, however, it is rather time-consuming, and often laborious to obtain an accurate measure of the isolated weak places in a yarn, since this would normally entail the testing of some 5000 metres of yarn or more.

Recognising the need for an instrument which would enable the isolated weak places in a yarn to be determined accurately and rapidly, SAWTRI embarked upon a programme aimed at the development of such an instrument. This has now been accomplished; a high speed automatic instrument having been designed and constructed as a prototype: ${ }^{10}$

This paper reports on the correlation between the values obtained on the SAWTRI instrument and those obtained on other instruments, as well as the correlation between such valués and the weaving performance of the abovementioned worsted yarns as measured in a previous studyl.

[^0]
## EXPERIMENTAL

Some 71 worsted yarns (Table I) ${ }^{1}$ comprising two-ply ring-spun, twostrand (e.g. Sirospun) and Repco self-twist (STT) yarns in wool, wool/polyester and polyester/viscose, were studied. The tensile properties of the yarns were measured on the following instruments: Uster Tensorapid - 1000 tests per sample (Table II); Uster Dynamat - 400 tests per sample; Shirley Constant Tension Winding Tester -approximately 10000 metres per sample at a tension of 211 cN ; SAWTRI instrument - 5000 tests per sample. Except for the Shirley test, which is a continuous one, the tensile tests were carried out at a gauge (test) length of approximately 50 cm .

## SAWTRI Instrument:

The SAWTRI instrument uses a novel concept to enable the tensile properties of yarns to be measured at extremely high speeds, approaching 10000 tests per hour. This instrument, for which patents have been applied, ${ }^{10}$ is computer controlled, and at the end of a test provides a printout of the strength, extension and work to break of weak places in the yarn as well as the average strength, work to break and extension of the yarn. The results obtained are given in Table III.

Examples of distribution curves obtained for breaking strength, extension at break and work to break are shown in Fig. 1, while Fig. 2 shows cumulative distribution curves for these same three parameters from which a measure of the tail-end of the distribution can be obtained.

## Weavability Tests:

The weavability of the yarns was measured, as part of a previous study ${ }^{1}$ on a Sulzer loom, running at 260 picks/min with fixed settings of warp tension, shed size, reed width, weave structure and fabric cover factor. A $2 / 2$ twill suiting of a fairly heavy construction, known to give considerable trouble with end breakages during weaving, was selected and a constant weft supply at a fixed pick density was maintained throughout.

A relatively high warp tension was selected to ensure a measurable end breakage rate for all the yarns investigated and in addition, a lower warp tension was used in the case of the 40 all-wool warp samples.

The number of warp breakages was recorded and expressed as warp breaks per 1000 ends per 100000 picks.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The inter-correlations detween some selected measures of weak places in the yarns and the weavability of the yarns, are shown in Table IV. From this table it can be seen that the measures of weak places provided by the Shirley, Tensorapid and SAWTRI instruments were all similarly and highly correlated
with weavability, the correlation coefficients being in excess of 0,8 . This illustrates the importance of having a measure of the weak places in a yarn in order to predict its weavability.

In the light of the above findings a multiple regression analysis was carried out on the results in log form with weavability as dependent variable and various combinations of yarn properties, including different measures of weak places, as independent variables. From this analysis the following best fit equations were derived, with Y representing weavability (warp breaks per 1000 ends per 100000 picks):

1. Making use of results obtained on the Shirley, and Tensorapid instruments:
$\mathrm{Y}=0,23 \mathrm{X}_{1}^{2,15} \mathbf{X}_{2}^{0,26} \mathbf{X}_{3}^{-1,42} \mathbf{X}_{4}^{0,50}$
$\%$ fit $=85,1$
where
$\mathrm{X}_{1}=$ yarn linear density
$\mathrm{X}_{2}=$ objectionable faults (Classimat)
$\mathrm{X}_{3}=$ Tensorapid extension at break
$\mathrm{X}_{4}=$ Shirley breaks.
2. Making use of results obtained on the Shirley, but omitting the Tensorapid results.
$\mathrm{Y}=2,37 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{X}_{1}^{2,47} \mathrm{X}_{4}^{0,61}$
$\%$ fit $=81,9$
3. Making use of Tensorapid results but omitting the Shirley results:
$\mathrm{Y}=6,2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{X}_{5}^{-2,26} \mathbf{X}_{6}^{-0,27}$
$\%$ fit $=76,4$
where
$\mathrm{X}_{5}=$ Tensorapid strength of weakest place in 1000 tests as predicted from the mean strength and its CV.
$\mathrm{X}_{6}=$ Tensorapid extension of the least extensible place in 1000 tests as predicted from mean extension and its CV.
4. Making use of the SAWTRI instrument results:
$\mathrm{Y}=1,42 \times 10^{9} \mathbf{X}_{2}^{0,40} \mathbf{X}_{7}^{-2,02} \mathbf{X}_{8}^{-2,5 s}$
$\%$ fit $=81,2$
where
$\mathrm{X}_{7}=$ SAWTRI mean extension at break
$\mathrm{X}_{8}=$ SAWTRI strength of the fifth weakest place in 5000 tests predicted from a regression curve fitted to the first 250 breaks of the tail end of the distribution.

Fig. 3 illustrates the correlation between the actual weavability and that predicted from equation (4).
For wool yarns only, of which there were 40 , the following best-fit regression was obtained:
$Y=4,60 \times 10^{10} \mathbf{X}_{2}^{0.51} \mathbf{X}_{7}^{-1,67} \mathbf{X}_{8}^{-4,87} . \mathbf{X}_{9}^{2,09}$
$\%$ fit $=75,0$
where
$\mathrm{X}_{9}=$ Yarn-to-metal friction.
The above results once again illustrate quite clearly the important rôle played by isolated weak places in the weavability of worsted yarns, and further illustrate that a fairly accurate measure of weavability can be obtained by any one of the three instruments. Although the Shirley Constant Winding Tension Tester gave a|slightly better fit than the other two instruments, it is a manual and time-consuming test, and from a practical point of view the other two instruments are preferable because they are automatic and also far more rapid. This is particularly so for the SAWTRI instrument which is extremely rapid, which means that many more tests, and undoubtedly a more accurate measure of the isolated weak places in a yarn can be obtained within a given period.
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TABLE I
details pertaining to yarn properties and weavability

| Warp No. | Fibre | Comp. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yarn } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Actual | ObJ. Faults | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Irreg. } \\ & \text { (CV \%) } \end{aligned}$ | Thin/ <br> 1000 m | Thick/ 1000 m | Neps/ 1600 m | Hairs/m | $\begin{gathered} \text { Friction } \\ \text { (CN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Strength } \\ & (\mathbf{c N}) \end{aligned}$ | Tenachy (eN/tex) | Extension <br> (\%) | Shlriey** Breaks | Measured Weavality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Wool | 100\% | Ring | 51,6 | 7,5 | 14,1 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 33 | 41 | 399 | 7,7 | 20,5 | 0.15 | 11,00 |
| 2 | Wool | 100\% | Siro | 47,9 | 72,14 | 16,6 | 52 | 50 | 10 | 18 | 44 | 382 | 8,0 | 19.4 | 1,00 | 132,48 |
| 3 | Wool | 100\% | Ring | 49,1 | 6,09 | 14,0 | 11 | 10 | 5 | ${ }_{24}$ | 45.5 | 402 | 8,2 | 21,7 | 8.32 | 330.86 |
| 4 | Wool | 100\% | Ring | \$1,0 | 6.53 | 14,0 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 34 | 46 | 384 | 7,5 | 18,2 | 0,00 | 330.86 10.09 |
| 5 | Wool | 100\% | Repeo | 48,0 | 18,11 | 16,2 | 25 | 42 | 4 | 32 | 38 | 353 | 7,4 | 21,9 | 8,52 | 141,31 |
| 6 | Wool | 100\% | Ring | 50,0 | 1,84 | 13,4 | 3 | 11 | 10 | 33 | 46 | 404 | 8,1 | 21.6 | 0.29 | 141,35 |
| 7 8 | Wool | 100\% | Repco Ring | 48.7 500 | 5,77 | 16,6 | 12 | 11 | 6 | 36 | 37,5 | 370 | 7.6 | 21,2 | 4,86 | 43,22 |
| 8 | Wool | 100\% 100\% | Ring Ring | S0,0 517 | 7,28 14,41 | 13,8 13 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 36 | 48,5 | 381 | 7,6 | 16,5 | 0,25 | 106,55 |
| 10 | Wool | 100\% | Ring | 51,7 53,5 | 14,41 6,85 | 13,7 13,7 | 1 | 12 | 14 | 44 31 | ${ }_{40}^{27,5}$ | 345 371 | 6.7 6.9 | 10,3 189 | 3.23 2.14 | 148,04 289 |
| 11 | Wool | 100\% | Ring | 53, | 16,85 13,41 | 13,7 13,9 | 3 3 | 11 8 | 9 8 | 31 14 | 40 36 | 371 350 | 6.9 | 18,9 110 | 2,14 0,23 | 289,36 |
| 12 | PW | 55/45 | Ring | 46.2 | 8,74 | 15,6 | 10 | 17 | -88 | 14 24 | 36 42,5 | 350 732 | 6,7 15,8 | 11,0 23,6 | 0,23 0,00 | $\begin{array}{r}14,64 \\ 0.38 \\ \hline 6.36\end{array}$ |
| 13 | PW | 55/45 | Repco | 42,0 | 10,60 | 16,9 | 61 | 79 | 22 | 24 37 | 27 | 642 | 15,3 | 23,1 | 0,00 | 0,38 6.36 |
| 14 | PW | 55/45 | Repeo | 43,3 | 12,18 | 16,8 | 43 | 64 | 22 | 40 | 37 | 700 | 16,2 | 24,6 | 0,00 | 6,36 |
| 15 | PW | 55/45 | Ring | 44,0 | 5.54 | 13,9 | 4 | 3 | 15 | 22 | 30 | 768 | 17,5 | 23,5 | 0,00 | 0,96 |
| 16 | PW | 55145 | Repso | 39,9 | 15.08 | 16,4 | 45 | 86 | 7 | 38 | 38 | 588 | 14,7 | 23.5 | 0,11 |  |
| 17 | PW | 55/45 | Ring | 44,4 | 8,01 | 14,4 | 15 | 86 23 | 18 | 38 54 | 42 | 588 797 | 14,7 17.9 | 24,6 | 0,11 0,00 | 2,90 4,12 |
| 18 | PW | 55/45 | Ring | 43,5 | 6,38 | 14,0 | 9 | 38 | 19 | 54 57 | ${ }^{48,5}$ | 758 | 17,4 | 24,6 20,7 | 0,00 0,00 | 4,12 |
| 19 | PV | 65/35 | Ring | 31,3 | 14,78 | 11,3 | 0 | 9 | 61 | 19 | 44 | 601 | 21.8 | 14,9 | 0,14 | 10,73 |
| 20 | PV | 65/35 | Ring | 41,7 | 6,96 | 12,4 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 46,5 | 813 | 19.5 | 18,9 | 0.00 | 2,94 |
| 21 22 | PV | $65 / 35$ $65 / 35$ | Ring | 41,6 | 13,28 | 12,9 | 3 | 22 | 34 | 37 | 48,5 | 811 | 19.5 | 19,8 | 0,09 | 2,02 |
| 22 | PV | $65 / 35$ $65 / 35$ | Ring | 42.5 | 6,09 9,40 | 12.4 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 34 | 49 | 807 | 19.0 | 19.2 | 0,09 | 0,34 |
| 24 | PV | 65/35 | Ring | 42,6 428 | 9,40 9,07 | 12.3 123 | 0 | 7 | 22 | 34 | 50 | 822 | 19.3 | 19.4 | 0,00 | 2,20 |
| 25 | PV | 65/35 | Ring | 42,6 | 10,48 | 13,9 | 2 | 9 | 12 | 30 | 50 50 | 797 | 18,6 168 | 18.6 | 0,00 | 4,01 |
| 26 | PW | 55/45 | Ring | 42.3 | 1,25 | 15,0 | 10 | 12 | 12 | ${ }_{51}^{28}$ | 36 | 778 | 18,7 | 17,3 22,0 | 0,00 0,00 | 6,62 |
| 27 | Wool | 100\% | Ring | 43.5 | 2.28 | 14,6 | 6 | 11 | 19 |  | 45 | 332 | 7,6 | 21,2 | 2,78 | 1,23 |
| 28 | PW | 55/45 | Ring | 52,6 | 10.76 | 13,5 | 2 | 4 | 14 | 42 | 35,5 | 943 | 17,9 | 24,2 | 2,78 0,00 | 76,76 2,34 |
| 29 | PW | 55/45 | Ring | 53,8 | 7,45 | 14,3 | 2 | 8 | 20 | 72 | 38,5 | 1011 | 18,8 | 25,4 | 0,00 | 2,34 1,11 |
| 30 | PW | 55/45 | Ring | 50,8 | 2.89 | 13,8 |  | 5 | 7 | 55 | 37 | 924 | 18,2 | 22,9 | 0,00 | 1,11 0,54 |
| 31 | PW | 55/45 | Ring | 55,6 | 6.28 | 12,9 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 50 | 40 | 1075 | 19,3 | 25,8 | 0.00 | 0,54 |
| 32 | PW | 55/45 | Ring | 57.6 | 5,25 | 13,0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 58 | 39 | 1074 | 18,7 | 26,0 | 0,00 | 1,00 0,00 |
| 33 | PWW | 55/45 | Ring | 38,2 | 2,01 | 14,7 | 9 | 17 | 42 | 56 | 34 | . 649 | 17,0 | 24,4 | 0,20 | 4,63 |
| 34 | PW | 55/45 | Ring | 36.9 | 3,37 | 15.2 | 11 | 14 | 30 | 52 | 39.5 | -660 | 17,9 | 21,5 | 0,31 | 3,83 |
| 35 | PW | 55/45 | Ring | 37.4 | 3,51 | 14.7 | 5 | 8 | 29 | 52 | 42,5 | 659 | 17,6 | 23,2 | 0.00 | 0.92 |
| 36 37 | Wool | 100\% | Ring | 48,5 | 3,32 | 14,1 | 1 | 7 | 11 | 28 | 29 | 318 | 6,6 | 11,6 | 1,87 | 120,00 |
| 37 38 | Wool | 100\% | Ring Ring | 48,2 | 4,68 | 14,1 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 27 | 36 | 331 | 6,9 | 11,7 | 3,25 | 154,77 |
| 39 | WW | 100\% | Ring | 47,5 48,3 | 4,45 3,67 | 14,2 | 2 | 12 | 13 | 37 | 28 | 331 | 7.0 | 11.5 | 0,67 | 30,70 |
| 40 | PW | 55/45 | Ring | 49,2 | - 2,17 | 13,1 13,3 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 50 36 | 46 | 765 801 | 15,8 16,3 | 19,3 | 0.00 | 4,00 |
| 41 | PW | 55/45 | Ring | 49,6 | 2,96 | 13,6 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 36 35 | 49 | 801 786 | 16,3 15,8 | 23,8 23,4 | 0,00 0,00 | 0,63 |
| 42 | Wool | 100\% | Ring | 39.3 | 2,80 | 14,6 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 30 | 35 | 268 | 6.8 | 13,3 |  | 1,47 129,64 |
| 43 | Wool | 100\% | Ring | 38,7 | 4,28 | 14,4 | 6 | 9 | 14 | 36 | 27,5 | 278 | 7,2 | 13,6 | 38,83 18,90 | 129,64 57,45 |
| 44 | Wool | 100\% | Ring | 38,2 | 4,40 | 14,6 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 38 | 26,5 | 294 | 7,7 | 13,6 17,3 | 18,90 11,14 | 57,45 144,37 |
| 45 | PW | 35/45 | Ring | 36,9 | 2,01 | 15,1 | 11 | 14 | 77 | 31 | 39,5 | 689: | 18,7 | 22,1 | 0,00 | 144,37 1,89 |
| 46 | PW | 55/45 | Ring | 38,7 | 37,40 | 15,0 | , | 13 | 50 | 35 | 40 | 682 | 17.6 | 24,6 | 0,00 0,00 | 1,89 |
| 47 | PW | 55/45 | Ring | 38,1 | 5,21 | 15,1 | 6 | 12 | 33 | 41 | 38 | 598 | 15,7 | 23,4 | 0,00 | 2,57 |
| 48 | PW | 55/45 | Ring | 33.8 | 44,62 | 14,8 | 8 | 9 | 22 | 30 | 25 | 603 | 17,8 | 22.3 | 0,00 | 1,45 |
| 49 50 | WW | 100\% | Ring | 39,1 | 15,39 | 14,3 | 8. | 11 | 17 | 25 | 26 | 274 | 7,0 | 18.3 | 55,72 | 136,60 |
| 50 51 | WWool | 35/45 100\% | Ring | 44,1 459 | 5,98 | 14,3 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 42 | 29,5 | 754 | 17,1 | 22.8 | 0,00 | 1,85 |
| 52 | Wool | 100\% 100\% | Ring Ring | 45,9 47,6 | 15,79 0,52 | 14,5 13,9 | 8 | 13 | 29 | 33 | 33 | 304 | 6.6 | 15.8 | 19,38 | 290,41 |
| 53 | Wool | 100\% | Ring | 44,1 | 0,52 | 13,9 13,5 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 30 | ${ }_{39}^{48}$ | 373 | 7.8 | 23,1 | 0,00 | 0,98 |
| 54 | Wool | 100\%. | Siro | 46,6 | 44,63 | 15,5 | 17 | 21 | 7 | 32 19 | 39,5 40,5 | -364 | 8,3 | 24.4 | 0,10 | 1,38 |
| 55 | Wool | 100\% | Siro | 36,4 | 79,25 | 14,8 | 12 | 5 | 8 | 19 | 40,5 | 370 292 | 7.9 8,0 | 19,4 | 0,70 | 26.91 |
| 56 | Wool | 100\% | Siro | 45,0 | 93,33 | 15,1 | 16 | 7 | 8 |  |  | 362 | 8,0 8,0 | 22,5 | 25.00 | 212,13 |
| 57 | Wool | 100\% | Ring | 50,8 | 3,45 | 13,9 | 3 | 13 | 22 | 46 | 32 27 | 362 389 | 8,0 7,7 | 23.8 23.0 | 3,90 0,00 | 33,13 |
| 58 | Wool | 100\% | Siro | 48,9 | 105,16 | 15,1 | 13 | 26 | 9 | 24 | 34 | 389 415 | 7,7 8,5 | 23,0 27,6 | 0,00 0,30 | 1,23 |
| 59 | Wool | 100\% | Ring | 51;3 | 8,35 | 13.7 | 5 | 3 | 14 | 42 | 41 | 399 | \%,8 | 27,6 23.6 | 0,30 0,10 | 20,72 9,72 |
| 60 | Wool | 100\% | Ring | 37,1 | 4,84 | 14,5 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 33 | 25 | 309 | 8,3 | 24,6 24,3 | 0,10 6.80 | $\mathbf{9}, 72$ $\mathbf{2 1 , 1 2}$ |
| 61 | Wool | 100\% | Siro | 38,9 | 44,6 | 15,8 | 22 | 23 | 12 | 13 | 34 | 320 | 8,2 | 23,6 | 60,00 30.00 | 71,2 |
| 62 | Wool | 100\% | Ring | 36.3 38.9 | 3.17 | 14,1 | 2 | 11 | 17 | 13 | 38 | 288. | 79 | 14,5 | 2,60 | 13,47 |
| 63 | Wool | 100\% | Siro | 38.9 | 61.33 | 15,8 | 16 | 33 | 5 | 15 | 32 | 313 | 8.1 | 22.3 | 15,40 | 106,01 |
| 64 65 | Wool | 100\% | Siro | 39,4 | 60,23 | 16,6 | 45 | 28 | 9 | 17 | 28 | 311 | 7,9 | 21,2 | 20,30 | 220,98 |
| 66 | Wool | 100\% | Siro | 39,2 40,9 | 75,47 7215 | 16,3 | 20 | 18 | 6 | 17. | 29 | 322 | 8,2 | 23,5 | 15,50 | 137,49 |
| 67 | Wool | 100\% | Siro | 39,9 | 73,88 | 16,6 | 25 7 | 15 | 13 12 | 14 | 34 31 | 296 | 7,2 | 16,9 | 36,90 | 196,43 |
| 68 | Wool | 100\% | Siro | 38,6 | 83,05 | 15,6 | 22 | 31 | 25 | 12 | 31 30 | 305 | 7,7 | 19,9 | 10,10 | 62,24 |
| 69 | Wool | 100\% | Siro | 39,6 | 48,22 | 16,4 | 25 | 37 | 7 | 13 | 31 27 | 305 289 | 7.9 | 20,1 15.5 | 16,90 43,20 | 111,96 157,14 |
| 71 | Wool | 100\% | Siro | 40,6 | 70,37 | 14,7. | 5 | 23 | 16 | 11 | 31 | 317 | 778 | 21,8 | 9,180 | 60,4 67,77 |
| End breaks per 1000 ends per 100000 picks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Breaks per 1000 m at 211 cNPolyester |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wool |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Viscose |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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TABLE II
TENSORAPID RESULTS

| Warp No. | Breaking Strength |  | Tenacity (cN/tex) | Extension (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CV } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Work to Break (cN.cm) | Work to Break (CV\%) | First* Weakest Place (cN) | Second* Weakest Place (cN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean. <br> (cN) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CV } \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 406 | 9 | 7,9 | 17,7 | 25,6 | 2886 | 34,1 | 310 | 320 |
| 2 | 404 | 12 | 8,4 | 19,6 | 35,2 | 3313 | 44,9 | 240 | 260 |
| 3 | 421 | 11 | 8,6 | 21,6 | 30,3 | 3697 | 39,0 | 220 | 240 |
| 4 | 397 | 9 | 7,8 | 13,3 | 28,2 | 2118 | 37,5 | 220 | 280 |
| 5 | 351 | 11 | 7,3 | 16,6 | 35,0 | 2386 | 45,4 | 160 | 200 |
| 6 | 418 | 8 | 8,4 | 17,9 | 26,0 | 3047 | 34,0 | 320 | 340 |
| 7 | 374 | 11 | 7,7 | 17,7 | 34,9 | 2713 | 44,5 | 200 | 220 |
| 8 | 375 | 11 | 7,5 | 13,3 | 33,3 | 1985 | 44,8 | 240 | 260 |
| 9 | 344 | 10 | 6,7 | 8,1 | 28,8 | 1050 | 40,7 | 220 | 240 |
| 10 | 400 | 14 | 7,5 | 15,7 | 33,8 | 2486 | 47,5 | 200 | 220 |
| 11 | 355 | 8 | 6,8 | 9,3 | 22,1 | 1259 | 31,3 | 260 | 280 |
| 12 | 788 | 12 | 17,1 | 22,3 | 5,5 | 4602 | 13,8 | 400 | 500 |
| 13 | 711 | 13 | 16,9 | 21,5 | 7,7 | 4192 | 15,9 | 400 | 450 |
| 14 | 720 | 12 | 16,6 | 22,8 | 7,1 | 4480 | 14,6 | 450 | 500 |
| 15 | 824 | 11 | 18,7 | 24,3 | 5,3 | 5222 | 12,4 | 550 | 600 |
| 16 | 619 | 13 | 15,5 | 22,0 | 9,3 | 3965 | 17,5 | 300 | 350 |
| 17 | 821 | 10 | 18,5 | 21,2 | 5,6 | 4605 | 12,8 | 500 | 550 |
| 18 | 798 | 10 | 18,3 | 19,0 | 6,5 | 4073 | 13,4 | 500 | 550 |
| 19 | 774 | 8 | 24,7 | 14,8 | 5,6 | 2812 | 12,0 | 500 | 550 |
| 20 | 928 | 10 | 22,3 | 18,5 | 5,6 | 4245 | 12,1 | 500 | 550 |
| 21 | 898 | 10 | 21,6 | 19,0 | 5,5 | 4268 | 12,1 | 550 | 600 |
| 22 | 921 | 10 | 21,7 | 18,4 | 5,6 | 4259 | 12,4 | 600 | 650 |
| 23 | 917 | 10 | 21,5 | 19;0 | 5,0 | 4284 | 11,6 | 650 | 700 |
| 24 | 843 | 10 | 19,7 | 17,9 | 5,9 | 3890 | 12,7 | 500 | 550 |
| 25 | 796 | 11 | 18,7 | 16,7 | 6,3 | 3533 | 13,4 | 500 | 550 |
| 26 | 809 | 12 | 19,1 | 21,3 | 5,8 | 4488 | 13,8 | 450 | 500 |
| 27 | 344 | 10 | 7,9 | 15,6 | 28,7 | 2173 | 38,4 | 240 | 260 |
| 28 | 1032 | 10 | 19,6 | 22,9 | 5,0 | 6303 | 11,9 | 600 | 700 |
| 29 | 1057 | 10 | 19,7 | 24,3 | 4,1 | 6687 | 10,7 | 700 | 750 |
| 30 | 1014 | 9 | 20,0 | 21,8 | 4,6 | 5754 | 11,0 | 700 | 750 |
| 31 | 1153 | 9 | 20,7 | 24,3 | 4,6 | 7285 | 11,0 | 850 | 900 |
| 32 | 1148 | 9 | 19,9 | 24,5 | 4,3 | 7427 | 10,4 | 800 | 850 |
| 33 | 718 | 11 | 18,8 | 23,3 | 5,8 | 4594 | 13,2 | 400 | 450 |
| 34 | 674 | 14 | 18,3 | 19,4 | 8,4 | 3572 | 17,6 | 350 | 400 |
| 35 | 707 | 12 | 18,9 | 21,7 | 5,7 | 4107 | 13,4 | 500 | 550 |
| 36 | 340 | 10 | 7,0 | .9,3 | 29,3 | 1231 | 41,1 | 220 | 240 |
| 37 | 339 | 10 | 7,0 | 9,5 | 27,6 | 1263 | 39,0 | 200 | 220 |
| 38 | 335 | 9 | 7,0 | 9,8 | 25,9 | 1289 | 35,8 | 240 | 260 |
| 39 | 816 | 10 | 16,9 | 17,9 | 6,9 | 4452 | 13,3 | 400 | 500 |
| 40 | 846 | 10 | 17,2 | 21,9 | 5,1 | 5220 | 11,8 | 400 | 500 |
| $41^{\circ}$ | 833 | 10 | 16,8 | 21,7 | 4,8 | 5187 | 11,2 | 600 | 650 |
| 42 | 275 | 11 | 7,0 | 10,1 | 31,1 | 1079 | 43,4 | 160 | 170 |
| 43 | 279 | 9 | 7,2 | 10,8 | 26,9 | 1199 | 36,8 | 190 | 200 |
| 44 | 292 | 9 | 7,7 | 13,1 | 30,3 | 1571 | 39,8 | 180 | 190 |
| 45 | 727 | 11 | 19,7 | 20,3 | 6,1 | 3886 | 13,8 | 350 | 400 |
| 46 | 721 | 12 | 18,6 | 23,3 | 5,5 | 4480 | 12,9 | 400 | 450 |
| 47 | 630 | 12 | 16,5 | 22,2 | 6,4 | 3962 | 13,8 | 300 | 350 |
| 48 | 652 | 12 | 19,3 | 21,0 | 5,4 | 3458 | 13,0 | 420 | 440 |
| 49 | 280 | 13 | 7,2 | 13,2 | 34,9 | 1459 | 47,2 | 180 | 190 |
| 50 | 847 | 13 | 19,2 | 21,4 | 8,3 | 4821 | 16,6 | 500 | 550 |
| 51 | 310 | 12 | 6,8 | 12,1 | 42,1 | 1527 | 56,0 | 140 | 200 |
| 52 | 390 | 9 | 8,2 | 18,1 | 27,3 | 2875 | 35,6 | 260 | 280 |
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8,1
18,8
18,8
19,5
20,8
19,2
18,2
16,6
12,0
16,1
16,9
16,9
17,1
16,4
15,1
18,3
12,0
19,6
21,1
18,6
21,1
21,1
20,0
16,7
18,4
8,2
8,1
7,6
15,3
19,1
18,7
9,1
9,1
9,5
17,5
19,6
19,1
18,0
10,8
17,4
9,5
16,6
17,3
13,7
15,6
15,9
15,2
17,1
14,3
13,8
15,3
12,5
15,5
14,6
15,3
11,4
12,3
13,5
11,8
13,5
14,0



182
197
225
382
366
240
351
279
215
355
302
409
404
366
339
424
155
469
615
539
632
606
233
286
327
115
145
137
324
522
502
132
140
115
358
322
265
274
104
312
113
227
198
217
146
144
223
210
161
119
153
150
105
136
142
100
140
109
126
159
174


| 205 | 210 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 267 | 285 |
| 285 | 300 |
| 419 | 428 |
| 413 | 424 |
| 286 | 297 |
| 410 | 424 |
| 346 | 362 |
| 253 | 261 |
| 415 | 428 |
| 371 | 388 |
| 463 | 476 |
| 463 | 476 |
| 418 | 430 |
| 394 | 406 |
| 464 | 473 |
| 181 | 187 |
| 526 | 538 |
| 663 | 674 |
| 587 | 597 |
| 692 | 705 |
| 670 | 685 |
| 297 | 313 |
| 332 | 343 |
| 367 | 376 |
| 146 | 153 |
| 168 | 173 |
| 160 | 165 |
| 380 | 393 |
| 558 | 565 |
| 540 | 549 |
| 154 | 159 |
| 163 | 169 |
| 133 | 137 |
| 394 | 402 |
| 369 | 379 |
| 315 | 326 |
| 317 | 327 |
| 126 | 132 |
| 367 | 380 |
| 136 | 141 |
| 257 | 263 |
| 230 | 237 |
| 244 | 250 |
| 174 | 181 |
| 184 | 194 |
| 255 | 263 |
| 244 | 252 |
| 199 | 208 |
| 143 | 149 |
| 180 | 187 |
| 177 | 183 |
| 140 | 149 |
| 163 | 170 |
| 171 | 178 |
| 127 | 134 |
| 164 | 169 |
| 137 | 143 |
| 147 | 151 |
| 181 | 186 |
| 203 | 209 |

TABLE IV
INTER-CORRELATIONS BETWEEN WEAK PLACES AND WEAVABILITY

|  | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{5}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{6}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{8}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{1 0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0 , 8 9}$ | $-0,82$ | $-0,56$ | $-0,86$ | $-0,82$ |
| $\mathbf{X}_{4}$ |  | 1 | $-0,85$ | $-0,49$ | $-0,87$ | $-0,80$ |
| $\mathbf{X}_{5}$ |  |  | 1 | 0,61 | 0,94 | 0,90 |
| $\mathbf{X}_{6}$ |  |  |  | 1 | 0,59 | 0,61 |
| $\mathbf{X}_{8}$ |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0,94 |
| $\mathbf{X}_{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

$\mathbf{Y}=$ Weavability
$X_{4}=$ Shirley Breaks
$\mathbf{X}_{5}=$ Tensorapid. strength of weakest place in 1000 tests as predicted from the mean strength and its CV.
$\mathrm{X}_{6}=$ Tensorapid extension of the least extensible place in 1000 tests as predicted from mean extension and its CV.
$\mathrm{X}_{8}=$ SAWTRI instrument strength of the fifth weakest place in 5000 tests predicted from a regression curve.
$\mathbf{X}_{10}=$ SAWTRI extension of the fifth least extensible place in 5000 tests as predicted from a regression curve.




Fig. 1 - Examples of Distribution Curves for Force, Extension and Work as Obtained on the SAWTRI Instrument.


Fig. 2 - Example of Cumulative Distribution Curves for Force, Extension and Work Obtained on the SAWTRI Instrument.
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## ACTUAL WEAVABILITY

Fig. 3 - Predicted vs Actual Weavability (Warp Breaks per 1000 Ends per 100000 Picks).


[^0]:    - This paper was presented at the 55th IWTO Conference in Oostende, Belgium, June 1986.

