# **CONNECTIVITY AMONGST MARINE, ESTUARINE AND FRESHWATER SYSTEMS AND ITS IMPLICATIONS FOR ESTUARINE FISH COMMUNITIES**

#### **10**TH WIOMSA SYMPOSIUM DAR ES SALAAM 30 OCTOBER 2017

Steven Weerts Coastal Systems Research Group Council for Scientific and Industrial Research South Africa sweerts@csir.co.za



# Connectivity

- Connectivity ~ "the state of being connected or interconnected" (Oxford dictionary)
- Estuarine connectivity ~ "a facilitator of the movement of materials or effects that occurs at multiple scales: within the estuary, between the estuary and other contiguous marine and terrestrial systems, and between habitats within the estuary" (Dale & Sheaves 2016)



our future through science

# Connectivity



# Aspects of connectivity

- Marine / Estuarine connectivity (mouth state in estuaries)
- Marine / Estuarine / Freshwater connectivity (linked coastal lakes and connectivity barriers)
- Habitat / Life cycle connectivity (seagrass / sandbanks / Cape stumpnose)











## Location



our future through science

## Mouth state in KwaZulu-Natal estuaries: Marine connectivity

- TOCE's predominate, most are small (< 10 ha)
- Numerically important in KwaZulu-Natal







#### Mouth state: sampling and data

- Consistently sampled by gear and season
- Catches standardised to CPUE
- 10 years mouth observations
- National estuarine features database





NAME	Overige (G	Buffels	Sporg	Groen	Sout	Olforts	Jakkalavle?	Wedrift
Cachment Area (8m2)	001590.8	9876.004	1409.072	4626-427	1441.942	49075.2	615.5688	689-5703
Reference_MAR_(m3x105)	10653.01	9.33	1.07	0,450	1.5	1070.1	3,508	15,256
Present_MAR_(m34106)	4142.9	6.66	0.177	0.445	1.5	715	2,502	4.774
Eatchment_Frodibility (scaled from le must)	5	4	4	A	3	4	1	2
Degree_of_protection/incodent_wave_energy (1=Very protected, 3= Exposed)	5	4	- 2	5	5	5	2	- 3
Surface_width (m) (proxy for neashore energy)	385	255	110	140	226.6667	235	-94	83.75
Berm, width (m)	134.8	201.4	144,584	189,334	239.7775	203.275	63,1725	108.6
Open Mouth Category (2+100-75; 2+75-50; 3+50-25; 4+35-0)	1	4	4	4		1	4	4
%Mouth_Open	95	3		5	5	100	20	1.1
Inlet_contriction(I=Y; 0=N)	0	0	0	D	D	. D	0	α
Operwater_[ha]	460.619	4.86728	1.98891	14.6261	28.1292	335.413	3.33925	63.8945
Ratio_MAR/Size	0.001112	0.007308	0.112368	0.328676	0.187528	0.004691	0.013346	0.055372
Opensater_perinteter_(km)	72.3144	2,47501	1.78039	5.66369	11.8594	01.4525	1.51782	15,7175
Floodplain_@w)	2609.17	53.2151	121.275	315.629	557,429	2077.51	56.5924	509.781
Floodplain_perimeterdon)	51.0156	5.07501	11.977	17.4135	17.1197	94,9121	5.51897	25.0485
Extuary_Sength_FishSovim_ [km]	12.91465	1.42157	2.55804	1.95535	4,79868	37.62949	1.27419	5.06092
Estuary_Strait_leight_thead_coast_as_Crow_files(8m)	10.49725	1.27786	2.21323	1.6267	3.3296	20.21268	2/02534	4.93175
Estuary_Shosity_ratio(proxy as watercolum_habitet_divensity)	0.012818	0.898908	0.055882	0.831923	0.693857	0.537163	0.890576	0.81102
Skeps_Roundrame	0.125901	0.255639	0.106239	0.13240	0.2390005	0.0229981	0.213481	0.1021
Shape_Roundness_Ratio	63.65668	19.66571	11.1712	25.82433	20,29615	36.67622	22.00015	40.60018
Fet_Depth(m)	2.5	1	1	1	1	3	1	1
Takulated_Volume	11515468	48672.82	19889.09	146261	281292.4	10062396	13392.27	638945.3
Dally_Flushing_Rate_(MAR/days)/Volunie	2.577358	0.525173	0.147393	0.008542	0.01461	0.29136	0.28782	0.05684
Degree of inclashess (openwater/floodplain)(Proxy for Riperian refugae)	0.176539	0.091464	0.0364	0.04576	0.050462	0,10145	0.059005	0.125337
Evaporation_nate(rem/a)	2698.7	2564.1	2491	2459.4	2433	2450.9	2352	2337.6
iitertidal salt marsh	1.04	0	4.87	12	0.36	91.94	0	. 0

### Mouth state: influence on community structure (univariate)

• Regression/DistLM

Response variable	Р	R <sup>2</sup>
Number of species	<0.001*	0.571
Abundance (CPUE)	0.032*	0.120
Species diversity (H')	<0.001*	0.437







### Mouth state: influence on community structure (univariate)

• Regression/DistLM

Response variable	Р	R <sup>2</sup>
Number of species	<0.001*	0.571
Abundance (CPUE)	0.032*	0.120
Species diversity (H')	<0.001*	0.437





#### Mouth state: influence on estuarine guilds





## Mouth state: influence on community composition (multivariate)



### Mouth state: influence on community composition (multivariate)



#### Mouth state: (and other estuarine features) influence on community composition (multivariate)

- Redundancy analysis (dbRDA) ۲
- Estuarine length becomes important in permanently open estuaries ٠



#### Mouth state: synthesis

- Fish communities are predictable in systems with different marine connectivities
- There are thresholds in mouth open frequency that drive major changes in fish communities

Temporary open closed			Open
Predominantly closed Predominantly open		Open	
0-30	30-60	60-90	>90

- Species influential in this are identifiable
- Connectivity is more important than physico-chemistry in estuaries that become disconnected from the sea
- In open estuaries system size and length becomes important



#### Mouth state: synthesis

- There is greater variability in fish assemblages in predominantly closed estuaries (which are generally more stable over space and time) [????]
- Stability favours development of superabundant populations of selected freshwater and estuarine species
- Recruitment windows for marine species are limited and possible not synchronised with spawning periods. Species occurrences can be random, with some exception





#### Coastal lakes: Marine / Estuarine / Freshwater connectivity







#### Coastal lakes: Kosi Bay

- Two years, bi-seasonal sampling: 8 trips/year
- Random sites within selected lake and estuary reaches





## Coastal lakes: Kosi Bay

• Lakes yield similar species as estuaries













### Coastal lakes: Kosi Bay



#### Coastal lakes: Connectivity barriers on Zululand coastal lakes



#### **Coastal lakes:** Connectivity and geological time scales



### Coastal lakes: synthesis

- Distance from sea is a primary determinant of marine and freshwater species occurrences in coastal lakes
- Physico-chemical conditions are (usually) strongly covariate but low (no) salinity is not prohibitive for recruitment of marine species
- Connectivity constraints (and barriers in particular) play an important role (especially for marine and estuarine species)
- Across bioregions, biogeographic considerations can be significant, especially for freshwater fish richness
- Connectivity breaks render coastal lakes prone to establishment of non-native and alien freshwater species (Whitfield et al. 2017)



#### Connectivity: Habitat / Life cycle connectivity







### Connectivity: zostera / Cape stumpnose



## Connectivity in estuarine systems: knowledge implications

- Mouth management plans (marine connectivity)
- Freshwater allocations (marine connectivity)
- Impoundments (barriers to marine and estuarine connectivity)
- Impoundments (alien freshwater species)
- Sea-level rise / Drought (increased connectivity and salinity into coastal freshwaters)



# Thank you

#### Related presentations, this conference

- Connectivity between estuarine populations (Madagascar mainland Africa)
- Poster 147 "Estuarine lakes: linkages and lineages across longitudes in the Western Indian Ocean"

#### Acknowledgements and references

- ► Alan Whitfield and Digby Cyrus, PhD supervisors
- Dave Voorvelt in Whitfield (1998) "Biology and Ecology of Fishes in Southern African Estuaries". South African Institute of Aquatic Biodiversity (Line drawings of selected fish)
- Dale P & Sheaves M. (2016). Estuarine Connectivity. In Encyclopedia of Estuaries, Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences Series pp 258-260
- Whitfield AK, Weerts SP & Weyl OLF. (2017). A review of the influence of biogeography, riverine linkages, and marine connectivity on fish assemblages in evolving lagoons and lakes of coastal southern Africa. Ecology and evolution.

